



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/812,626

03/29/2004

Bradley C. Aldrich

MP1509

3477

26703

7590

07/03/2008

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C.

5445 CORPORATE DRIVE

SUITE 200

TROY, MI 48098

EXAMINER

CRUZ, IRIANA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/812,626

Applicant(s)

ALDRICH ET AL.

Examiner

IRIANA CRUZ

Art Unit

2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18, 24-27 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18, 24-27 and 32-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 19-23 and 28-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 04/08/2008.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I containing Claims 1-18, 24-27 and 32-34 in the reply filed on 04/08/2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-11, 24-27 and 32-34** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitan (US Patent Number 5,600,574) in view of Leffel (US Publication Number 2005/0057303 A1).

Regarding **Claim 1**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device comprising: a look-up table (LUT) storing sample outputs from an output range of a transfer function, wherein the transfer function maps sample inputs from an input range of the transfer function to the sample outputs, the sample inputs being distributed so that more sample inputs are associated with a first region of the transfer function than a second region of the transfer function (i.e., **perform conversion of incoming/input pixel/image data to**

an outgoing/output pixel data set with a desired transfer function ((the desired output can be positioning the samples on a desired region. See Column 21, Lines 55-66 and See Column 22, Lines 5-10);

Reitan'574 fails to show the device comprising an address module to calculate an index into the LUT based on image data.

Leffel'303 teaches an address module to calculate an index into the LUT based on image data (i.e., **the look-up table contains an index calculating module. See Paragraphs 45).**

Having the system of Reitan'574 and then given the well-established teaching of the Leffel'303, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system as suggested by the combination of Reitan'574 with the teachings of Leffel'303 by adding an address module to calculate an index into the LUT, in order to improve the systems precision of the system by updating the Look-up table depending on the image data.

Regarding **Claim 2**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device further comprising an interpolation module to calculate transferred image data using the sample output in the LUT addressed by the index (i.e., **to represent the output samples/image data. See Column 21, Lines 63-66 and See Column 22, Lines 36-44).**

Regarding **Claim 3**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device further comprising a plurality of additional LUTs, one LUT to correspond to each color channel used by a color space (i.e., **plurality of LUT's. See Column 22, Lines 5-10).**

Regarding **Claim 4**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device further comprising a color filter to determine a color of the image data and to select one of the plurality of LUTs based on the determined color (**i.e., filtering. See Column 19, Lines 30-35**).

Regarding **Claim 5**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device wherein the interpolation module also uses the image data to calculate the transferred image data (**i.e., See Column 13, Lines 24-35**).

Regarding **Claim 6**, the combination of Reitan'574 and Leffel'303 shows an image processing device wherein the address module calculates the index by accessing a region pointer based on a first part of the image data, and combining the region pointer with a second part of the image data (**i.e., a pointer offsets allow LUT to shift values. See Paragraph 101 in reference Leffel'303**).

Regarding **Claim 7**, the combination of Reitan'574 and Leffel'303 shows an image processing device wherein the first part of the image data comprises the first two bits of the image data that determine a quartile, the region pointer comprises a quartile pointer that addresses the first sample output mapped from a sample input in the quartile, and the second part of the image data indicates the address of the indexed sample output within the quartile (**i.e., a pointer offset allow LUT to shift values in the necessary way. See Paragraphs 101,107 and 116-117 in reference Leffel'303**).

Regarding **Claim 8**, the combination of Reitan'574 and Leffel'303 shows an image processing device wherein the transfer function has four regions, the first and second regions each being one of the four regions, and the region pointer identifies with

which of the four regions the image data is associated (**i.e., a pointer offset allow LUT to shift values in the necessary way. See Paragraphs 101,107 and 116-117 in reference Leffel'303).**

Regarding **Claim 9**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device wherein the transferred image data comprises companded image data (**i.e., compressed to 8 bits. See Column 22, Lines 40-45).**

Regarding **Claim 10**, Reitan'574 shows an image processing device wherein the transferred image data comprises gamma-corrected image data (**i.e., gamma correction. See Column 22, Lines 40-45 and See Column 32, Lines 23-27).**

With regards to method **Claim 24**, the limitation of the claim 24 are corrected by limitation of claim 1 above. The steps of claim 24 read into the function step of claim 1.

With regards to method **Claim 25**, the limitation of the claim 25 are corrected by limitation of claim 9 above. The steps of claim 25 read into the function step of claim 9.

With regards to method **Claim 26**, the limitation of the claim 26 are corrected by limitation of claim 6 above. The steps of claim 26 read into the function step of claim 6.

With regards to method **Claim 27**, the limitation of the claim 27 are corrected by limitation of claim 5 above. The steps of claim 27 read into the function step of claim 5.

With regards to method **Claim 32**, the limitation of the claim 32 are corrected by limitation of claim 1 above. The steps of claim 32 read into the function step of claim 1.

With regards to method **Claim 33**, the limitation of the claim 33 are corrected by limitation of claim 9 above. The steps of claim 33 read into the function step of claim 9.

With regards to method **Claim 34**, the limitation of the claim 34 are corrected by limitation of claim 6 above. The steps of claim 34 read into the function step of claim 6.

5. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitan (US Patent Number 5,600,574) in view of Takane (US Publication Number 2002/0030751 A1).

Regarding **Claim 11**, Reitan'574 shows a digital camera for capturing digital video or still images (i.e., **a digital image system electronic camera . See Column 1, Lines 12-15 and 30-31 and See Column 2, Lines 50-54**), the digital camera comprising: a look-up table (LUT) storing sample outputs from an output range of an image processing transfer function, wherein the image processing transfer function maps sample inputs from an input range of the image processing transfer function to the sample outputs, the sample inputs being distributed so that more sample inputs are associated with a first region of the image processing transfer function than a second region of the image processing transfer function (i.e., **perform conversion of incoming/input pixel/image data to an outgoing/output pixel data set with a desired transfer function ((the desired output can be positioning the samples on a desired region. See Column 21, Lines 55-66 and See Column 22, Lines 5-10).**

Reitan'574 fails to show the digital camera comprising a sensor to convert light into image data and a battery to power the sensor and the LUT.

Takane'751 teaches a digital camera comprising a sensor to convert light into image data (i.e., **image sensor to convert light. See Paragraphs 49**) and a battery to

power the sensor and the LUT (i.e., **the camera has a battery to give power for all the processing including the use of the LUTs. See Paragraphs 53 and 214).**

Having the system of Reitan'574 and then given the well-established teaching of the Takane'751, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system as suggested by the combination of Reitan'574 with the teachings of Takane'751 by adding a sensor to convert light into image data and a battery to power the sensor and the LUT, in order to improve the systems performance and superior durability by having a battery to power the system.

6. **Claims 12-18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitan (US Patent Number 5,600,574) in view of Takane (US Publication Number 2002/0030751 A1) and further in view of Leffel (US Publication Number 2005/0057303 A1).

Regarding **Claim 12**, the combination of Reitan'574 and Takane'751 fails to show a digital camera, further comprising an address module to calculate an index into the LUT based on image data.

Leffel'303 teaches a system comprising an address module to calculate an index into the LUT based on image data (i.e., **the look-up table contains an index calculating module. See Paragraphs 45).**

Having the system of Reitan'574 and then given the well-established teaching of the Leffel'303, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system as suggested by the combination of Reitan'574 with the teachings of Leffel'303 by adding an address module to calculate

an index into the LUT, in order to improve the systems precision of the system by updating the Look-up table depending on the image data.

Regarding **Claim 13**, Reitan'574 shows a digital camera, further comprising an interpolation module to calculate transferred image data using the image data and the sample output in the LUT addressed by the index (**i.e., to represent the output samples/image data. See Column 21, Lines 63-66 and See Column 22, Lines 36-44).**

Regarding Claim 14, Reitan'574 shows a digital camera further comprising a plurality of additional LUTs, one LUT to correspond to each color channel used by a color space (**i.e., plurality of LUT's. See Column 22, Lines 5-10).**

Regarding **Claim 15**, Reitan'574 shows a digital camera further comprising a color filter to determine a color of the image data and to select one of the plurality of LUTs based on the determined color (**i.e., filtering. See Column 19, Lines 30-35).**

Regarding **Claim 16**, the combination of Reitan'574, Takane'751 and Leffel'303 shows a digital camera wherein the address module calculates the index by accessing a region pointer based on a first part of the image data, and combining the region pointer with a second part of the image data (**i.e., a pointer offsets allow LUT to shift values. See Paragraph 101 in reference Leffel'303).**

Regarding **Claim 17**, the combination of Reitan'574, Takane'751 and Leffel'303 shows a digital camera wherein the transfer function has four regions, the first and second regions each being one of the four regions, and the region pointer identifies with which of the four regions the image data is associated (**i.e., a pointer offset allow LUT**

to shift values in the necessary way. See Paragraphs 101,107 and 116-117 in reference Leffel'303).

Regarding **Claim 18**, Reitan'574 shows a digital camera wherein the image processing transfer function comprises a gamma-correction transfer function (**i.e., gamma correction. See Column 22, Lines 40-45 and See Column 32, Lines 23-27).**

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IRIANA CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)270-3246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on (571) 272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2625

/King Y. Poon/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Iriana Cruz
Examiner
Art Unit 2625

July 01, 2008

/I. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2625